



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

FY 2009 Overview:

**Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)
State Homeland Security Program-Tribal (SHSP-Tribal)
UASI Nonprofit Security Grant Program (UASI NSGP)
Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)
Additional Infrastructure Security Programs
Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG)
Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP)
Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)**

November 5, 2008



Grant Programs Directorate

Homeland Security Grant Program Overview

One of the core missions of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is to enhance the ability of state, territory, local, and tribal governments to prevent, protect against, respond to and recover from terrorist attacks and other disasters. FEMA's comprehensive suite of grant programs are an important part of the Administration's larger, coordinated effort to strengthen homeland security preparedness. These programs implement objectives addressed in a series of post-9/11 laws, strategy documents, plans and Homeland Security Presidential Directives (HSPDs).

The seven preparedness programs within the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) are:

- Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)
 - State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)
 - Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI)
 - Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS)
 - Citizen Corps Program (CCP)
- State Homeland Security Program -Tribal (SHSP Tribal)
- Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP)
- Operation Stonegarden Grant Program (OPSG)

Together, these grants may fund a wide range of preparedness activities, to include planning, organization, equipment purchase, training, exercises and management and administration costs. These programs support objectives outlined in the National Preparedness Guidelines and related national preparedness doctrine, such as the National Incident Management System, National Response Framework and the National Infrastructure Protection Plan.

Applications for these programs are due March 20, 2009. DHS has also created multiple opportunities for applicants to consult with the department's Grant Programs Directorate and subject matter experts during the review process and prior to the announcement of awards.

Homeland Security Grant Program Overview

Funding Distribution – FY 2008 and FY 2009

| Program | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| Homeland Security Grant Program | \$1,697,314,000 | \$1,714,300,154 |
| State Homeland Security Program | \$861,280,000 | \$861,265,000 |
| Urban Areas Security Initiative | \$781,630,000 | \$798,631,250 |
| Metropolitan Medical Response System | \$39,831,500 | \$39,831,404 |
| Citizen Corps Program | \$14,572,500 | \$14,572,500 |
| State Homeland Security Program – Tribal | \$1,645,000 | \$1,660,000 |
| UASI Nonprofit Security Grant Program | \$15,000,000 | \$15,000,000 |
| Operation Stonegarden | \$60,000,000 | \$60,000,000 |
| TOTAL | \$1,773,959,000 | \$1,790,960,154 |

State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$861,265,000

Purpose: This core assistance program provides funds to build capabilities at the state and local levels and to implement the goals and objectives included in state homeland security strategies and initiatives in the State Preparedness Report.

Eligible Applicants: Eligible entities for SHSP are all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Awards: Funds will be allocated based on risk analysis and the anticipated effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

Program Awards and Funding Minimum: Each state will receive a minimum allocation under SHSP using the thresholds established in the *Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Act of 2007* (P.L. 110-053) (9/11 Act). All 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive 0.365 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Sections 2003 and 2004 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 as amended by the 9/11 Act for SHSP and UASI programs. Four territories (American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum allocation of 0.08 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Sections 2003 and 2004 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 as amended by the 9/11 Act for SHSP and UASI programs.

Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Program

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$798,631,250

Purpose: The UASI program focuses on enhancing regional preparedness in major metropolitan areas. The UASI program directly supports the National Priority on expanding regional collaboration in the National Preparedness Guidelines and is intended to assist participating jurisdictions in developing integrated regional systems for prevention, protection, response and recovery.

Eligible Applicants: The 62 highest risk Urban Areas are eligible for funding under the FY 2009 UASI program.

Program Awards: The seven highest risk urban areas, designated Tier 1 Urban Areas, will compete for approximately \$439 million or 55 percent of available funds. The remaining urban areas, designated Tier 2 Urban Areas, will compete for approximately \$359 million or 45 percent of available funds. Funds will be allocated based on risk analysis and the anticipated effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS) Program

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$39,831,404

Purpose: The MMRS program supports the integration of emergency management, health, and medical systems into a coordinated response to mass casualty incidents caused by any hazard. Successful MMRS grantees reduce the consequences of a mass casualty incident during the initial period of a response by having augmented existing local operational response systems before the incident occurs.

Eligible Applicants: As with previous years, 124 cities are eligible for MMRS funding.

Program Awards: Each of the 124 MMRS jurisdictions will receive \$321,221 to establish or sustain local capabilities.

Citizen Corps Program (CCP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$14,572,500

Purpose: The Citizen Corps mission is to bring community and government leaders together to coordinate community involvement in emergency preparedness, planning, mitigation, response and recovery.

Eligible Applicants: CCP eligibility mirrors that of SHSP: all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Program Awards and Funding Minimum: CCP allocations are determined using the USA PATRIOT Act formula, which specifies that all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive a minimum of 0.75 percent of the total available grant funding, and that four territories (American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum of 0.25 percent of the total available grant funding. The balance of CCP funds will be distributed on a population-share basis. In addition to CCP allocations, states and urban areas are encouraged to fully leverage HSGP resources to accomplish the Citizen Corps mission.

State Homeland Security Program – Tribal (SHSP Tribal)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$1,660,000

Purpose: To provide supplemental funding to directly eligible tribes to help strengthen the nation against risks associated with potential terrorist attacks. Pursuant to the 9/11 Act, “a directly eligible tribe applying for a grant under section 2004 [SHSP] shall designate an individual to serve as a tribal liaison with [DHS] and other federal, state, local, and regional government officials concerning preventing, preparing for, protecting against and responding to acts of terrorism.”

Eligible Applicants: Per the 9/11 Act, a “directly eligible tribe” is defined as — (A) any Indian tribe— (i) that is located in the continental United States; (ii) that operates a law enforcement or emergency response agency with the capacity to respond to calls for law enforcement or emergency services; (iii)(I) that is located on or near an international border or a coastline bordering an ocean (including the Gulf of Mexico) or international waters; (II) that is located within 10 miles of a system or asset included on the prioritized critical infrastructure list established under section 210E(a)(2) or has such a system or asset within its territory; (III) that is located within or contiguous to 1 of the 50 most populous metropolitan statistical areas in the United States; or (IV) the jurisdiction of which includes not less than 1,000 square miles of Indian country, as that term is defined in section 1151 of title 18, United States Code; and (iv) that certifies to the Secretary that a state has not provided funds under section 2003 or 2004 to the Indian tribe or consortium of Indian tribes for the purpose for which direct funding is sought; and (B) a consortium of Indian tribes, if each tribe satisfies the requirements of subparagraph (A).

Program Awards: Funds will be allocated competitively to eligible tribes based on risk analysis and the anticipated effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$15,000,000

Purpose: UASI NSGP provides funding support for target-hardening activities to nonprofit organizations that are at high risk of a terrorist attack and are located within one of the specific UASI-eligible urban areas.

Eligible Applicants: Eligible nonprofit organizations (as described under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code) that are at high risk of a terrorist attack and are located within one of the specific UASI-eligible urban areas must apply for funding through their State Administrative Agency (SAA).

Program Awards: Funds will be based on risk analysis, effectiveness and integration with broader state and local preparedness efforts.

Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$60,000,000

Purpose: The intent of OPSG is to enhance cooperation and coordination among local, state and federal law enforcement agencies in a joint mission to secure the United States borders along routes of ingress from international borders to include travel corridors in States bordering Mexico and Canada, as well as states and territories with international water borders.

Eligible Applicants: Eligible applicants under the FY 2009 OPSG are local units of government at the county level and federally-recognized tribal governments in the states bordering Canada (including Alaska), southern states bordering Mexico, and states and territories with International water borders.

Program Awards: Funds will be allocated competitively to designated localities within U.S. Border States based on risk analysis and the anticipated feasibility and effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

Additional Infrastructure Security Programs Overview

The DHS additional infrastructure security programs are designed to strengthen the nation's ability to protect critical infrastructure facilities and transit systems. There are seven different grant programs:

- Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)
 - Freight Rail Security Grant Program (FRSGP)
 - Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak)
- Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)
- Intercity Bus Security Grant Program (IBSGP)
- Trucking Security Program (TSP)
- Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)

Together, these grants fund a range of preparedness activities, including strengthening infrastructure against explosive attacks, preparedness, planning, equipment purchase, training, exercises, security management and administration costs. Transportation infrastructure security grants support objectives outlined in post-9/11 laws, strategy documents, plans, Executive Orders, Homeland Security Presidential Directives, the National Preparedness Guidelines and associated work products, including the National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP) and its transportation sector-specific plans and Executive Order 13416 (Strengthening Surface Transportation Security).

The FY 2009 additional infrastructure security programs contain significant improvements based upon extensive outreach to stakeholders. In addition, the risk assessments that form the basis for eligibility under these programs have been slightly refined to conform to the final provisions of the 9/11 Act.

Applications for these programs are due January 13, 2009. Additionally, some transportation infrastructure security grants will be executed as cooperative agreements which allows for more collaboration between DHS and the applicants in refining funding proposals. DHS has also created multiple opportunities for applicants to consult with the department's grant program and subject matter experts during the review process and prior to the announcement of awards.

Additional Infrastructure Security Programs Funding Distribution – FY 2008 and FY 2009

| Program | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Transit Security Grant Program ¹ | \$388,600,000 | \$388,600,000 |
| Freight Rail Security Grant Program | \$15,000,000 | \$15,000,000 |
| Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) | \$25,000,000 | \$25,000,000 |
| Port Security Grant Program | \$388,600,000 | \$388,600,000 |
| Intercity Bus Security Grant Program | \$11,172,250 | \$11,658,000 |
| Trucking Security Program | \$15,544,000 | \$7,772,000 |
| Buffer Zone Protection Program | \$48,575,000 | \$48,575,000 |
| TOTAL | \$852,491,250 | \$845,205,000 |

¹ The Freight Rail Security Grant Program and Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) program are components of the Transit Security Grant Program.

Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$348,600,000²

Purpose: The TSGP provides grant funding to the nation's key high-threat urban areas to enhance security measures for their critical transit infrastructure including bus, ferry and rail systems.

Eligible Applicants: Transit agencies eligible for FY 2009 TSGP funding were identified using a comprehensive, empirically-grounded risk analysis model. The risk methodology for the TSGP is consistent across modes and is linked to the risk methodology used to determine eligibility for the core DHS state and local grant programs. TSGP basic eligibility is derived from the Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI).

Certain ferry systems are eligible to participate in the FY 2009 TSGP and receive funds under the Tier I cooperative agreement process. However, any ferry system electing to participate and receive funds under the FY 2009 TSGP cannot participate in the FY 2009 Port Security Grant Program (PSGP), and will not be considered for funding under the FY 2009 PSGP. Likewise, any ferry system that participates in PSGP cannot be considered for funding under TSGP.

Program Awards: Regional transit agencies and ferry systems will compete for funding within their tiers.

Freight Rail Security Grant Program (FRSGP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$15,000,000

Purpose: In FY 2009, the FRSGP will fund security training for frontline employees, the completion of vulnerability assessments, the development of security plans within the freight rail industry and GPS tracking systems for railroad cars transporting toxic inhalation materials (TIH).

Eligible Applicants: Eligible applicants are divided into groups based on the types of projects they can apply for: Class I, II and III railroad carriers, and owners of railroad cars transporting TIH. Eligible railroad carriers may ONLY request funding for security awareness, emergency response training for railroad frontline employees and the completion of vulnerability assessments and security plans.

Program Awards: Applicants will be selected through a competitive process based on their ability to deliver training, develop security plans and vulnerability assessments, and proposals to install Global Positioning Tracking (GPS) on rail cars carrying TIH.

² The FY 2009 Budget provides a total of \$388,600,000 available for the TSGP. A set aside of \$25 million has been made for Amtrak, and \$15 million is available for the Freight Rail Security Grant Program.

Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$25,000,000

Purpose: The purpose of the FY 2009 Intercity Passenger Rail (IPR) is to create a sustainable, risk-based effort to protect critical surface transportation infrastructure and the traveling public from acts of terrorism, major disasters and other emergencies within the Amtrak rail system.

Eligible Applicants: Amtrak is the only agency eligible to apply for Intercity Passenger Rail program funds.

Program Awards: Amtrak will receive a targeted allocation of \$25,000,000.

Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$388,600,000

Purpose: The PSGP provides grant funding to port areas for the protection of critical port infrastructure from terrorism. PSGP funds are primarily intended to assist ports in enhancing maritime domain awareness, enhancing risk management capabilities to prevent, detect, respond to and recover from attacks involving improvised explosive devices (IEDs), weapons of mass destruction (WMDs) and other non-conventional weapons, as well as training and exercises and Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC) implementation.

Eligible Applicants: Seven port areas have been selected as Group I (highest risk) and 48 port areas have been selected as Group II. Ports not identified in Group I or II are eligible to apply as a Group III or "All Other Port Areas" applicant. Under a fifth group eligible ferry systems may also apply for funding. "All Other Port Areas" within Group I, II or III are allowed to receive grant funds from their geographically proximate higher group if the project has regional impact across the entire port area, but not from both funding groups for the same project. Eligible ferry systems identified in the FY 2009 Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP) Guidance that elect to participate and receive funds under the FY 2009 TSGP cannot participate in the PSGP.

Program Awards: Each Group I and Group II port area has been designated a specific amount of money based upon the FY 2009 risk analysis. Group III, and "All Other Port Areas" and ferry systems will compete for the funding identified in their corresponding group. Newly identified Group II port areas opting out of the Fiduciary Agent process will compete for funding among the Group III port areas.

Intercity Bus Security Grant Program (IBSGP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$11,658,000

Purpose: The IBSGP provides funding to create a sustainable program for the protection of intercity bus systems and the traveling public from terrorism. The program seeks to assist operators of fixed-route intercity and charter bus services in obtaining the resources required to support security measures such as enhanced planning, facility security upgrades and vehicle and driver protection.

Eligible Applicants: The only eligible grantees for the FY 2009 IBSGP are private operators providing fixed-route or charter transportation by an over-the-road bus servicing an Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) jurisdiction. Charter companies must make a minimum of 50 trips annually to one or more UASI jurisdictions. Companies with 250 or more over-the-road buses in operation and providing the highest volume of services to high-risk urban areas will be placed in Tier I. All other applicants that meet the minimum eligibility requirements will be placed in Tier II.

Program Awards: Bus companies will compete for funds within their designated tiers.

Trucking Security Program (TSP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$7,772,000

Purpose: The FY 2009 TSP funding will be awarded to eligible applicants to implement security improvement measures and policies deemed valuable by DHS as indicated in the [Security Action Items](#) publication of June 26, 2008. These items are primarily focused on the purchase and installation or enhancement of equipment and systems related to tractor and trailer tracking systems. Additionally, the TSP will provide funding to develop a system for DHS to monitor, collect and analyze tracking information; and develop plans to improve the effectiveness of transportation and distribution of supplies and commodities during catastrophic events.

Eligible Applicants: Eligibility for funding under the Security Action Item Implementation priority is limited to applicants who have a current security plan subject to Title 49 CFR 172.800 Transport Tier I Commodities as defined by TSA through the issuance of Highway Security-Sensitive Materials (HSSM) Security Action Items. Eligible applicants will be placed into one of two tiers: Tier I consisting of eligible applicants that have 11 or more tractors or Tier II, consisting of eligible applicants that have 10 or less tractors. There are no restrictions on the eligibility for the monitoring and planning priority of TSP. These applicants must demonstrate that they have the financial and resource capabilities to successfully address the Security Action Implementation and Monitoring and Planning priorities.

Program Awards: A national review panel consisting of subject matter experts from federal agencies will review and assess applications based on feasibility, timelines and sustainability.

Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$48,575,000

Purpose: The BZPP provides funding to increase the preparedness capabilities of jurisdictions responsible for the safety and security of communities surrounding high-priority pre-designated Tier 1 and Tier 2 critical infrastructure and key resource (CIKR) assets, including chemical facilities, financial institutions, nuclear and electric power plants, dams, stadiums and other high-risk/high-consequence facilities, through allowable planning and equipment acquisition.

Eligible Applicants: Specific BZPP sites within 49 states, the District of Columbia, and the U.S. Virgin Islands have been selected based on their level of risk and criticality. Each state or territory with a BZPP site is eligible to submit applications for its local communities to participate in and receive funding under the FY 2009 BZPP. BZPP funding allocated to any given state or territory is a function of the number, type, and character of the pre-identified sites within that state or territory.

Program Awards: All BZPP sites have been selected prior to the grant announcement based on the risk of the individual sites themselves. Therefore, FY 2009 BZPP funding allocated to any given state or territory is entirely a function of the number, type and character of pre-identified higher-risk sites within their respective jurisdictions; there are no discretionary sites.

Additional Programs Overview

In addition to the FY 2009 HSGP and Critical Infrastructure Security Programs (CISP) Guidance and Application Kits announced on November 5, 2008, FEMA has released three additional programs to support its mission:

- Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG)
- Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP)
- Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)

Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$306,022,500

Purpose: The purpose of the FY 2009 EMPG is to assist state and local governments in enhancing and sustaining all-hazards emergency management capabilities.

Applicants: The governor of each state and territory is required to designate a State Administrative Agency (SAA) to apply for and administer the funds awarded under EMPG. The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply for EMPG funds.

Program Awards: The allocation methodology for FY 2009 EMPG dictates that all 50 states, the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive a base amount of 0.75 percent of the total available grant funding. Four territories (American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a base amount of 0.25 percent of the total available grant funding. The balance of EMPG funds will be distributed on a population-share basis. Pursuant to the Compact of Free Association, funds are available for the Federated States of Micronesia and for the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

EMPG has a 50 percent federal and 50 percent state cost share, cash or in-kind match requirement. Any portion of funds used for construction and renovation of EOCs requires 25 percent state match.

Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2008: \$48,575,000

Purpose: IECGP provides governance, planning, training and exercise and equipment funding to states, territories, and local and tribal governments to carry out initiatives to improve interoperable emergency communications, including communications in collective response to natural disasters, acts of terrorism and other man-made disasters. According to the legislation that created IECGP, all proposed activities must be integral to interoperable emergency communications and must be aligned with the goals, objectives and initiatives identified in the grantee's approved statewide Communication Interoperability Plans (SCIP). IECGP will also advance DHS near-term priorities that are deemed critical to improving interoperable emergency communications and are consistent with goals and objectives of the National Emergency Communications Plan. For FY 2009, two priority groups have been

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

identified that are deemed critical for advancing interoperable emergency communications in alignment with the criteria established for the SCIP process: 1) Gaps in Leadership and Governance and Common Operational Planning and Protocols; and 2) Emergency Responder Skills and Capabilities Development Through Training and Exercises.

IECGP has a 75 percent federal and 25 percent state cost share, cash or in-kind, requirement for equipment purchases only. Match requirements are waived for the territories of American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Eligible Applicants: The governor of each state and territory has designated a State Administrative Agency (SAA), which can apply for and administer the funds under IECGP. The SAA is the only agency eligible to apply for IECGP funds.

Program Awards: FY 2009 IECGP funds will be allocated based on risk and statutory minimums, per the 9/11 Act. All 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico will receive a minimum of 0.50 percent of the total funds allocated. Four territories (American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum allocation of 0.08 percent of the total funds allocated.

Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)

Total Funding Available in FY 2009: \$34,002,500

Purpose: RCPGP will provide \$31,002,500 in FY 2009 to enhance catastrophic incident preparedness in selected high-risk, high-consequence urban areas. A total of \$3,000,000 will be used to support technical assistance funding in FY 2009. RCPGP is intended to support coordination of regional all-hazard planning for catastrophic events, including the development of integrated planning communities, plans, protocols and procedures to manage a catastrophic event.

Eligible Applicants: Eligible applicants under FY 2009 RCPGP include the eleven (11) pre-designated high-risk, high-consequence urban areas within the ten (10) RCPGP sites.

Program Awards: One non-competitive award will be made to each of the pre-designated eleven (11) high-risk, high consequence urban areas within the ten (10) RCPGP sites, provided their application meets the minimum standards specified for FY 2009. Funds will be allocated based on the risk of a catastrophic incident occurring in the region and the anticipated effectiveness of the proposed projects upon completion of the application review process.

FY 2009 Funding Tables

FY 2009 SHSP Target Funding Allocations

The following table identifies the SHSP target allocations. States should apply for 110 percent of the targeted allocation. FEMA may increase or decrease final SHSP allocations by up to 10 percent based on the effectiveness analysis.

| State | SHSP Allocation | Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum |
|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| Alabama | \$10,612,000 | \$2,653,000 |
| Alaska | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| American Samoa | \$1,328,000 | \$332,000 |
| Arizona | \$13,181,000 | \$3,295,250 |
| Arkansas | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| California | \$104,586,000 | \$26,146,500 |
| Colorado | \$11,286,000 | \$2,821,500 |
| Connecticut | \$9,861,000 | \$2,465,250 |
| Delaware | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| District of Columbia | \$10,754,000 | \$2,688,500 |
| Florida | \$35,236,000 | \$8,809,000 |
| Georgia | \$20,786,000 | \$5,196,500 |
| Guam | \$1,328,000 | \$332,000 |
| Hawaii | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Idaho | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Illinois | \$33,212,000 | \$8,303,000 |
| Indiana | \$12,018,000 | \$3,004,500 |
| Iowa | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Kansas | \$7,154,000 | \$1,788,500 |
| Kentucky | \$9,111,000 | \$2,277,750 |
| Louisiana | \$15,305,000 | \$3,826,250 |
| Maine | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Maryland | \$17,100,000 | \$4,275,000 |
| Massachusetts | \$16,350,000 | \$4,087,500 |
| Michigan | \$20,359,000 | \$5,089,750 |
| Minnesota | \$11,647,000 | \$2,911,750 |
| Mississippi | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Missouri | \$11,353,000 | \$2,838,250 |
| Montana | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Nebraska | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Nevada | \$8,921,000 | \$2,230,250 |
| New Hampshire | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| New Jersey | \$26,391,000 | \$6,597,750 |
| New Mexico | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| New York | \$113,222,000 | \$28,305,500 |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

| State | SHSP Allocation | Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--|
| North Carolina | \$15,466,000 | \$3,866,500 |
| North Dakota | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Northern Mariana Islands | \$1,328,000 | \$332,000 |
| Ohio | \$23,294,000 | \$5,823,500 |
| Oklahoma | \$7,306,000 | \$1,826,500 |
| Oregon | \$8,493,000 | \$2,123,250 |
| Pennsylvania | \$28,795,000 | \$7,198,750 |
| Puerto Rico | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Rhode Island | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| South Carolina | \$8,531,000 | \$2,132,750 |
| South Dakota | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Tennessee | \$12,236,000 | \$3,059,000 |
| Texas | \$62,168,000 | \$15,542,000 |
| U.S. Virgin Islands | \$1,328,000 | \$332,000 |
| Utah | \$6,470,000 | \$1,617,500 |
| Vermont | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Virginia | \$20,710,000 | \$5,177,500 |
| Washington | \$18,791,000 | \$4,697,750 |
| West Virginia | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Wisconsin | \$10,108,000 | \$2,527,000 |
| Wyoming | \$6,060,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| Total | \$861,265,000 | \$215,316,250 |

FY 2009 UASI Target Allocations

The following table identifies the target allocations for UASI. Urban areas should apply for 110 percent of the target allocation. FEMA may increase or decrease final UASI allocations by up to 10 percent based on the effectiveness analysis.

| States | | Urban Area | FY 2009 Target Allocation | Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| TIER 1 | California | Bay Area | \$40,638,250 | \$10,159,563 |
| | | Los Angeles/Long Beach Area | \$68,290,450 | \$17,072,613 |
| | District of Columbia | National Capital Region | \$58,006,500 | \$14,501,625 |
| | Illinois | Chicago Area | \$52,320,650 | \$13,080,163 |
| | New Jersey | Jersey City/Newark Area | \$35,298,150 | \$8,824,538 |
| | New York | New York City Area | \$145,137,750 | \$36,284,438 |
| | Texas | Houston Area | \$39,555,450 | \$9,888,863 |
| TIER 2 | Arizona | Phoenix Area | \$10,984,400 | \$2,746,100 |
| | | Tucson Area | \$4,515,350 | \$1,128,838 |
| | California | Anaheim/Santa Ana Area | \$12,753,750 | \$3,188,438 |
| | | Riverside Area | \$5,277,100 | \$1,319,275 |
| | | Sacramento Area | \$3,938,300 | \$984,575 |
| | | San Diego Area | \$14,735,000 | \$3,683,750 |
| | | Oxnard Area | \$2,502,950 | \$625,738 |
| | Colorado | Denver Area | \$7,233,800 | \$1,808,450 |
| | Connecticut | Bridgeport Area | \$2,807,300 | \$701,825 |
| | | Hartford Area | \$2,747,000 | \$686,750 |
| | Florida | Fort Lauderdale Area | \$6,063,400 | \$1,515,850 |
| | | Jacksonville Area | \$5,436,850 | \$1,359,213 |
| | | Miami Area | \$11,039,500 | \$2,759,875 |
| | | Orlando Area | \$5,160,400 | \$1,290,100 |
| | | Tampa Area | \$7,933,950 | \$1,983,488 |
| | Georgia | Atlanta Area | \$13,509,000 | \$3,377,250 |
| | Hawaii | Honolulu Area | \$4,754,750 | \$1,188,688 |
| | Indiana | Indianapolis Area | \$7,104,600 | \$1,776,150 |
| | Kentucky | Louisville Area | \$2,198,500 | \$549,625 |
| | Louisiana | Baton Rouge Area | \$3,048,900 | \$762,225 |
| | | New Orleans Area | \$5,429,600 | \$1,357,400 |
| | Maryland | Baltimore Area | \$10,974,900 | \$2,743,725 |
| | Massachusetts | Boston Area | \$14,564,400 | \$3,641,100 |
| | Michigan | Detroit Area | \$13,481,450 | \$3,370,363 |
| | Minnesota | Twin Cities Area | \$8,248,000 | \$2,062,000 |
| | Missouri | Kansas City Area | \$7,694,550 | \$1,923,638 |
| | | St. Louis Area | \$8,532,900 | \$2,133,225 |
| | Nevada | Las Vegas Area | \$8,579,000 | \$2,144,750 |
| | New York | Albany Area | \$1,924,250 | \$481,063 |
| | | Buffalo Area | \$5,040,700 | \$1,260,175 |
| | | Rochester Area | \$2,342,900 | \$585,725 |
| Syracuse Area | | \$1,869,300 | \$467,325 | |
| North Carolina | Charlotte Area | \$4,579,950 | \$1,144,988 | |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

| States | | Urban Area | FY 2009 Target Allocation | Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum |
|--------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---|
| Ohio | Cincinnati Area | | \$4,969,150 | \$1,242,288 |
| | Cleveland Area | | \$5,086,800 | \$1,271,700 |
| | Columbus Area | | \$4,349,600 | \$1,087,400 |
| | Toledo Area | | \$2,287,550 | \$571,888 |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma City Area | | \$4,404,700 | \$1,101,175 |
| | Tulsa Area | | \$2,160,450 | \$540,113 |
| Oregon | Portland Area | | \$7,178,700 | \$1,794,675 |
| Pennsylvania | Philadelphia Area | | \$17,950,450 | \$4,487,613 |
| | Pittsburgh Area | | \$6,395,400 | \$1,598,850 |
| Puerto Rico | San Juan Area | | \$3,183,250 | \$795,813 |
| Rhode Island | Providence Area | | \$4,764,250 | \$1,191,063 |
| Tennessee | Memphis Area | | \$4,229,900 | \$1,057,475 |
| | Nashville Area | | \$2,986,200 | \$746,550 |
| Texas | Austin Area | | \$2,922,550 | \$730,638 |
| | Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington Area | | \$19,305,450 | \$4,826,363 |
| | El Paso Area | | \$5,381,750 | \$1,345,438 |
| | San Antonio Area | | \$6,220,150 | \$1,555,038 |
| Utah | Salt Lake City Area | | \$2,938,300 | \$734,575 |
| Virginia | Norfolk Area | | \$7,372,000 | \$1,843,000 |
| | Richmond Area | | \$2,710,700 | \$677,675 |
| Washington | Seattle Area | | \$11,313,600 | \$2,828,400 |
| Wisconsin | Milwaukee Area | | \$4,266,450 | \$1,066,613 |
| Total | | | \$798,631,250 | \$199,657,826 |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

FY 2009 MMRS Allocations

| State | MMRS Jurisdictions | State Total |
|----------------|--|---------------------|
| Alabama | Birmingham, Huntsville, Mobile, and Montgomery | \$1,284,884 |
| Alaska | Anchorage and Juneau | \$642,442 |
| Arizona | Glendale, Mesa, Phoenix, and Tucson | \$1,284,884 |
| Arkansas | Little Rock | \$321,221 |
| California | Anaheim, Bakersfield, Fremont, Fresno, Glendale, Huntington Beach, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Modesto, Oakland, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Jose, Santa Ana, and Stockton | \$5,781,978 |
| Colorado | Aurora, Colorado Springs, and Denver | \$963,663 |
| Connecticut | Hartford | \$321,221 |
| Florida | Fort Lauderdale, Hialeah, Jacksonville, Miami, Orlando, St. Petersburg, and Tampa | \$2,248,547 |
| Georgia | Atlanta and Columbus | \$642,442 |
| Hawaii | Honolulu | \$321,221 |
| Illinois | Chicago | \$321,221 |
| Indiana | Ft. Wayne and Indianapolis | \$642,442 |
| Iowa | Des Moines | \$321,221 |
| Kansas | Kansas City and Wichita | \$642,442 |
| Kentucky | Lexington/Fayette and Louisville | \$642,442 |
| Louisiana | Baton Rouge, Jefferson Parish, New Orleans, and Shreveport | \$1,284,884 |
| Maryland | Baltimore | \$321,221 |
| Massachusetts | Boston, Springfield, and Worcester | \$963,663 |
| Michigan | Detroit, Grand Rapids, and Warren | \$963,663 |
| Minnesota | Minneapolis and St. Paul | \$642,442 |
| Mississippi | Jackson | \$321,221 |
| Missouri | Kansas City and St. Louis | \$642,442 |
| Nebraska | Lincoln and Omaha | \$642,442 |
| Nevada | Las Vegas | \$321,221 |
| New Hampshire | Northern New England MMRS | \$321,221 |
| New Jersey | Jersey City and Newark | \$642,442 |
| New Mexico | Albuquerque | \$321,221 |
| New York | Buffalo, New York City, Rochester, Syracuse, and Yonkers | \$1,606,105 |
| North Carolina | Charlotte, Greensboro, and Raleigh | \$963,663 |
| Ohio | Akron, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Dayton, and Toledo | \$1,927,326 |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma City and Tulsa | \$642,442 |
| Oregon | Portland | \$321,221 |
| Pennsylvania | Allegheny County and Philadelphia | \$642,442 |
| Rhode Island | Providence | \$321,221 |
| South Carolina | Columbia | \$321,221 |
| Tennessee | Chattanooga, Knoxville, Memphis, and Nashville | \$1,284,884 |
| Texas | Amarillo, Arlington, Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, El Paso, Fort Worth, Garland, Houston, Irving, Lubbock, San Antonio, and Southern Rio Grande | \$4,175,873 |
| Utah | Salt Lake City | \$321,221 |
| Virginia | Arlington County, Chesapeake, Newport News, Norfolk, Richmond, and Virginia Beach | \$1,927,326 |
| Washington | Seattle, Spokane, and Tacoma | \$963,663 |
| Wisconsin | Madison and Milwaukee | \$642,442 |
| Total | | \$39,831,404 |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

FY 2009 CCP Allocations

| State/Territory | Allocation | State/Territory | Allocation |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Alabama | \$241,527 | Nevada | \$182,596 |
| Alaska | \$128,823 | New Hampshire | \$146,892 |
| Arizona | \$290,414 | New Jersey | \$357,481 |
| Arkansas | \$190,294 | New Mexico | \$165,581 |
| California | \$1,153,746 | New York | \$660,697 |
| Colorado | \$248,204 | North Carolina | \$368,199 |
| Connecticut | \$209,367 | North Dakota | \$127,573 |
| Delaware | \$134,003 | Ohio | \$436,943 |
| District of Columbia | \$126,103 | Oklahoma | \$212,653 |
| Florida | \$630,795 | Oregon | \$216,372 |
| Georgia | \$382,020 | Pennsylvania | \$464,542 |
| Hawaii | \$145,965 | Rhode Island | \$139,520 |
| Idaho | \$152,137 | South Carolina | \$235,237 |
| Illinois | \$476,536 | South Dakota | \$132,044 |
| Indiana | \$290,601 | Tennessee | \$285,213 |
| Iowa | \$194,673 | Texas | \$792,325 |
| Kansas | \$188,614 | Utah | \$184,880 |
| Kentucky | \$230,487 | Vermont | \$127,045 |
| Louisiana | \$231,965 | Virginia | \$329,655 |
| Maine | \$146,931 | Washington | \$294,119 |
| Maryland | \$269,829 | West Virginia | \$161,070 |
| Massachusetts | \$293,586 | Wisconsin | \$269,352 |
| Michigan | \$397,081 | Wyoming | \$124,233 |
| Minnesota | \$257,808 | Puerto Rico | \$221,941 |
| Mississippi | \$192,694 | U.S. Virgin Islands | \$39,570 |
| Missouri | \$277,260 | American Samoa | \$38,284 |
| Montana | \$136,658 | Guam | \$41,457 |
| Nebraska | \$159,999 | Northern Mariana Islands | \$38,906 |
| Total | | | \$14,572,500 |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

FY 2009 Transit Security Grant Program — Funding Eligibility

| Tier | State/Territory | Urban Area/Grantee | FY 2009 | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| I | | | Amtrak | \$25,000,000 |
| | | | Freight Rail Security | \$15,000,000 |
| | California | Los Angeles/Long Beach | \$13,333,678 | |
| | | San Francisco Bay | \$28,259,722 | |
| | District of Columbia Maryland Virginia | National Capital Region | \$38,080,340 | |
| | Georgia | Atlanta | \$6,399,055 | |
| | Illinois Indiana | Chicago | \$24,856,829 | |
| | Massachusetts | Boston | \$29,259,896 | |
| | New York New Jersey Connecticut | New York/New Jersey/Connecticut | \$153,256,664 | |
| | Pennsylvania New Jersey | Philadelphia | \$18,553,816 | |
| II | Arizona | Phoenix | \$36,600,000 | |
| | | Tucson | | |
| | California | Fresno | | |
| | | Sacramento | | |
| | | San Diego | | |
| | Colorado | Denver | | |
| | Florida | Jacksonville | | |
| | | Miami/Fort Lauderdale | | |
| | | Orlando | | |
| | | Tampa | | |
| | Hawaii | Honolulu | | |
| | Illinois | Urbana-Champaign | | |
| | Indiana | Indianapolis | | |
| | Kentucky | Louisville | | |
| | Louisiana | New Orleans | | |
| | Massachusetts | Springfield | | |
| | Michigan | Detroit | | |
| | | Lansing | | |
| | Minnesota | Twin Cities | | |
| | Missouri | Kansas City | | |
| | | St. Louis | | |
| | North Carolina | Charlotte | | |
| | New Mexico | Albuquerque | | |
| | Nevada | Las Vegas | | |
| | | Reno | | |
| | New York | Albany | | |
| | | Buffalo | | |
| | | Rochester | | |
| | Ohio | Cincinnati | | |
| | | Cleveland | | |
| | | Columbus | | |
| | | Dayton | | |
| Oregon | Portland | | | |
| | Eugene | | | |
| Pennsylvania | Pittsburgh | | | |
| Puerto Rico | San Juan | | | |
| Rhode Island | Providence | | | |
| Tennessee | Memphis | | | |
| | Nashville | | | |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

| Tier | State/Territory | Urban Area/Grantee | FY 2009 |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| II | Texas | Austin | |
| | | Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington | |
| | | Houston | |
| | | El Paso | |
| | | San Antonio | |
| | Utah | Salt Lake City | |
| | Virginia | Norfolk | |
| | | Richmond | |
| | Washington | Seattle | |
| | | Spokane | |
| | Wisconsin | Madison | |
| | | Milwaukee | |
| Total | | | \$388,600,000 |

FY 2009 Port Security Grant Program — Funding Eligibility

| Group | State | Port Area | FY 2009 Allocation |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| I | California | Los Angeles-Long Beach | \$36,390,481 |
| | | Bay Area | \$25,533,655 |
| | Delaware New Jersey Pennsylvania | Delaware Bay | \$19,114,279 |
| | Louisiana | New Orleans | \$29,417,915 |
| | New York New Jersey | New York-New Jersey | \$43,397,694 |
| | Texas | Houston-Galveston | \$30,794,672 |
| | Washington | Puget Sound | \$26,001,293 |
| | II | Alaska | Anchorage |
| Alabama | | Mobile | \$2,803,000 |
| California | | Port Hueneme | \$613,000 |
| | | San Diego | \$2,897,000 |
| Connecticut | | Long Island Sound | \$4,311,000 |
| Florida | | Jacksonville | \$5,747,000 |
| | | Miami | \$2,885,000 |
| | | Panama City | \$1,594,000 |
| | | Pensacola | \$1,609,000 |
| | | Port Canaveral | \$2,907,000 |
| | | Port Everglades | \$4,214,000 |
| | | Tampa Bay | \$2,305,000 |
| Georgia | | Savannah | \$5,032,000 |
| Guam | | Apra Harbor | \$545,000 |
| Hawaii | | Honolulu | \$4,525,000 |
| Illinois Indiana | | Southern Tip of Lake Michigan | \$6,243,000 |
| Kentucky | | Louisville | \$1,513,000 |
| Louisiana | | Lake Charles | \$3,941,000 |
| | | Morgan City | \$423,000 |
| | | Port Fourchon/LOOP | \$2,902,000 |
| Massachusetts | | Boston | \$4,518,000 |
| Maryland | | Baltimore | \$6,235,000 |
| Maine | | Portland | \$1,066,000 |
| Michigan | | Detroit | \$1,520,000 |
| Minnesota | | Minneapolis-St. Paul | \$2,019,000 |
| Minnesota Wisconsin | | Duluth-Superior | \$1,905,000 |
| Missouri | | Kansas City | \$1,550,000 |
| | | St. Louis | \$2,494,000 |
| Mississippi | | Pascagoula | \$346,000 |
| North Carolina | | Morehead City | \$1,682,000 |
| | | Wilmington | \$5,395,000 |
| New York | | Albany | \$1,947,000 |
| | | Buffalo | \$1,421,000 |
| Ohio | | Cincinnati | \$1,705,000 |
| | | Cleveland | \$1,412,000 |
| | | Toledo | \$936,000 |
| Oregon | | Columbia-Snake River System | \$3,108,000 |
| Pennsylvania | | Pittsburgh | \$2,307,000 |
| Puerto Rico | | Ponce | \$1,974,000 |
| | | San Juan | \$4,300,000 |
| South Carolina | Charleston | \$5,227,000 | |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

| Group | State | Port Area | FY 2009 Allocation |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| II | Tennessee | Memphis | \$2,406,000 |
| | | Nashville | \$1,469,000 |
| | Texas | Corpus Christi | \$6,628,000 |
| | | Freeport | \$3,279,000 |
| | | Sabine Neches | \$6,597,000 |
| | Virginia | Hampton Roads | \$6,736,000 |
| | West Virginia | Huntington | \$2,252,000 |
| III | Alaska | Valdez | \$17,592,011 |
| | Alabama | Guntersville | |
| | Arkansas | Helena | |
| | California | El Segundo | |
| | | Sacramento | |
| | Florida | Fort Pierce | |
| | | West Palm Beach | |
| | Georgia | Brunswick | |
| | Indiana | Mount Vernon | |
| | Massachusetts | Fall River | |
| | Michigan | Marine City | |
| | | Muskegon | |
| | | Monroe | |
| | | Port Huron | |
| | | Sault Ste Marie | |
| | Minnesota | Two Harbors | |
| | Mississippi | Greenville | |
| | | Gulfport | |
| | | Vicksburg | |
| | New Hampshire | Portsmouth | |
| | New Jersey | Perth Amboy | |
| | Ohio | Lorain | |
| | Oklahoma | Tulsa, Port of Catoosa | |
| | Oregon | Coos Bay | |
| | Washington | Coos Bay | |
| | Pennsylvania | Erie | |
| | Puerto Rico | Guayanilla | |
| | | Humancao | |
| | | Jobos | |
| | Rhode Island | Newport | |
| | | Providence | |
| | Tennessee | Chattanooga | |
| | Texas | Brownsville | |
| Matagorda | | | |
| Virginia | Richmond | | |
| Wisconsin | Green Bay | | |
| | Milwaukee | | |
| All Other Port Areas | Eligible entities not located within one of the port areas identified above, but operating under an AMSP, are eligible to compete for funding within "All Other Port Areas" Group | | \$15,344,000 |
| Ferry Systems | Nineteen (19) high capacity ferry systems in thirteen (13) port areas are eligible to receive funds through the FY 2009 PSGP | | \$5,000,000 |
| Total FY 2009 PSGP Allocation | | | \$388,600,000 |

FY 2009 BZPP Allocations

| State/Territory | Allocation | State/Territory | Allocation |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Alabama | \$400,000 | Montana | \$400,000 |
| Alaska | \$400,000 | Nebraska | \$1,000,000 |
| Arizona | \$400,000 | Nevada | \$400,000 |
| Arkansas | \$400,000 | New Hampshire | \$200,000 |
| California | \$5,200,000 | New Jersey | \$3,600,000 |
| Colorado | \$600,000 | New Mexico | \$400,000 |
| Connecticut | \$400,000 | New York | \$4,787,500 |
| Delaware | \$400,000 | North Carolina | \$2,500,000 |
| District of Columbia | \$600,000 | North Dakota | \$200,000 |
| Florida | \$800,000 | Ohio | \$600,000 |
| Georgia | \$600,000 | Oklahoma | \$800,000 |
| Hawaii | \$200,000 | Oregon | \$400,000 |
| Idaho | \$800,000 | Pennsylvania | \$1,400,000 |
| Illinois | \$3,000,000 | Rhode Island | \$200,000 |
| Indiana | \$1,000,000 | South Carolina | \$400,000 |
| Iowa | \$600,000 | South Dakota | \$400,000 |
| Kansas | \$600,000 | Tennessee | \$1,887,500 |
| Kentucky | \$400,000 | Texas | \$4,200,000 |
| Louisiana | \$1,200,000 | U.S. Virgin Islands | \$200,000 |
| Maine | \$200,000 | Utah | \$200,000 |
| Maryland | \$1,000,000 | Virginia | \$600,000 |
| Massachusetts | \$800,000 | Washington | \$600,000 |
| Michigan | \$800,000 | West Virginia | \$600,000 |
| Minnesota | \$200,000 | Wisconsin | \$400,000 |
| Mississippi | \$400,000 | Wyoming | \$200,000 |
| Missouri | \$600,000 | | |
| Total | | | \$48,575,000 |

FY 2009 EMPG Allocations

| State/Territory | Allocation | State/Territory | Allocation |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Alabama | \$5,070,565 | New Hampshire | \$3,084,292 |
| Alaska | \$2,705,062 | New Jersey | \$7,504,254 |
| Arizona | \$6,096,622 | New Mexico | \$3,476,558 |
| Arkansas | \$3,995,242 | New York | \$13,868,325 |
| California | \$24,216,716 | North Carolina | \$7,729,215 |
| Colorado | \$5,210,697 | North Dakota | \$2,678,816 |
| Connecticut | \$4,395,560 | Ohio | \$9,172,062 |
| Delaware | \$2,813,782 | Oklahoma | \$4,464,531 |
| District of Columbia | \$2,647,977 | Oregon | \$4,542,578 |
| Florida | \$13,240,731 | Pennsylvania | \$9,751,313 |
| Georgia | \$8,019,308 | Rhode Island | \$2,929,568 |
| Hawaii | \$3,064,837 | South Carolina | \$4,938,542 |
| Idaho | \$3,194,384 | South Dakota | \$2,772,671 |
| Illinois | \$10,003,047 | Tennessee | \$5,987,452 |
| Indiana | \$6,100,540 | Texas | \$16,631,007 |
| Iowa | \$4,087,148 | Utah | \$3,881,616 |
| Kansas | \$3,959,979 | Vermont | \$2,667,745 |
| Kentucky | \$4,838,849 | Virginia | \$6,920,233 |
| Louisiana | \$4,869,872 | Washington | \$6,174,386 |
| Maine | \$3,085,119 | West Virginia | \$3,381,875 |
| Maryland | \$5,664,580 | Wisconsin | \$5,654,562 |
| Massachusetts | \$6,163,190 | Wyoming | \$2,608,718 |
| Michigan | \$8,335,401 | Puerto Rico | \$4,659,474 |
| Minnesota | \$5,412,265 | U.S. Virgin Islands | \$830,929 |
| Mississippi | \$4,045,611 | American Samoa | \$803,934 |
| Missouri | \$5,820,548 | Guam | \$870,532 |
| Montana | \$2,869,601 | Northern Mariana Islands | \$817,001 |
| Nebraska | \$3,359,408 | Republic of the Marshall Islands | \$50,000 |
| Nevada | \$3,833,670 | Federated States of Micronesia | \$50,000 |
| Total | | | \$306,022,500 |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

FY 2009 IECGP Allocations

| State/Territory | Allocation | State/Territory | Allocation |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Alabama | \$565,150 | Nevada | \$463,349 |
| Alaska | \$286,624 | New Hampshire | \$242,875 |
| Arizona | \$923,912 | New Jersey | \$1,433,469 |
| Arkansas | \$307,672 | New Mexico | \$303,544 |
| California | \$6,089,369 | New York | \$6,999,813 |
| Colorado | \$689,951 | North Carolina | \$978,635 |
| Connecticut | \$477,707 | North Dakota | \$242,875 |
| Delaware | \$242,875 | Ohio | \$1,243,674 |
| District of Columbia | \$583,306 | Oklahoma | \$427,352 |
| Florida | \$2,039,553 | Oregon | \$521,506 |
| Georgia | \$1,086,225 | Pennsylvania | \$1,696,454 |
| Hawaii | \$282,595 | Rhode Island | \$242,875 |
| Idaho | \$242,875 | South Carolina | \$453,159 |
| Illinois | \$2,071,676 | South Dakota | \$242,875 |
| Indiana | \$747,138 | Tennessee | \$664,285 |
| Iowa | \$410,885 | Texas | \$3,466,275 |
| Kansas | \$398,560 | Utah | \$345,593 |
| Kentucky | \$506,778 | Vermont | \$242,875 |
| Louisiana | \$859,524 | Virginia | \$1,112,249 |
| Maine | \$242,875 | Washington | \$1,185,623 |
| Maryland | \$970,428 | West Virginia | \$242,875 |
| Massachusetts | \$1,015,791 | Wisconsin | \$550,020 |
| Michigan | \$1,054,673 | Wyoming | \$242,875 |
| Minnesota | \$716,462 | Puerto Rico | \$315,572 |
| Mississippi | \$370,239 | U.S. Virgin Islands | \$62,715 |
| Missouri | \$727,260 | American Samoa | \$47,524 |
| Montana | \$242,875 | Guam | \$77,846 |
| Nebraska | \$324,195 | Northern Mariana Islands | \$49,045 |
| Total | | | \$48,575,000 |

Embargoed until November 5, 2008

FY 2009 RCGCP Allocations

| Tier | RCGP Site | Associated Urban Area | FY 2009 Allocation |
|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|
| 1 | Bay Area | Bay Urban Area | \$3,617,000 |
| | Chicago Area | Chicago Urban Area | \$3,617,000 |
| | Houston Area | Houston Urban Area | \$3,617,000 |
| | Los Angeles/Long Beach Area | Los Angeles/Long Beach Urban Area | \$3,617,000 |
| | National Capital Region | National Capital Region Urban Area | \$3,617,000 |
| | New York City/ Northern New Jersey Area | New York City Urban Area Jersey City/ Newark Urban Area | \$3,617,000 \$3,617,000 |
| 2 | Boston Area | Boston Urban Area | \$1,420,875 |
| | Honolulu Area | Honolulu Urban Area | \$1,420,875 |
| | Norfolk Area | Norfolk Urban Area | \$1,420,875 |
| | Seattle Area | Seattle Urban Area | \$1,420,875 |
| Technical Assistance Set Aside | | | \$3,000,000 |
| Total | | | \$34,002,500 |